

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
2	v. BRIAN MCKINZIE, Defendant.
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
5	v. THOMAS FRANCOISE, Defendant.
6	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
8	v. JORGE WONG, Defendant.
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
11	v. DOUGLAS DITMER, Defendant.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

**ORDER SETTING CHANGE OF PLEA
HEARINGS**

Case No. CR 11-424 PJH

Case No. CR 11-426 PJH

Case No. CR 11-428 PJH

Case No. CR 12-448 PJH

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
2 Plaintiff,
3 v.
4 DANLI LIU,
5 Defendant.

Case No. CR 12-611 PJH

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 MICHAEL RENQUIST,
11 Defendant.

Case No. CR 13-143 PJH

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
15 Plaintiff,
16 v.
17 JOHN SHIELLS and MIGUEL DE SANZ,
18 Defendants.

Case No. CR 14-581 PJH

20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
21 Plaintiff,
22 v.
23 BRADLEY ROEMER,
24 Defendant.

Case No. CR 15-229 PJH

27 The status report filed by the government indicates, as it previously stated at the
28 September 21, 2016 status hearing, that the nine defendants charged in the above-

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1 captioned cases should be the first to appear for Rule 11 hearings on new plea
2 agreements because the government expects to call them at trial in *United States v.*
3 *Florida*, No. CR 14-582 PJH. The government neglects to propose a streamlined
4 procedure for taking the revised pleas or other suggestions for expediting the Rule 11
5 hearings, which the court anticipated when setting the filing deadline for the status report
6 based on the representations of counsel for the government. Rather, the government
7 states that it "hopes to request the first Rule 11 hearings for a subset of these defendants
8 as soon as the end of the week of October 3, 2016." Doc. no. 89. The government's
9 statement fails to appreciate that the court must rule on pretrial matters in *Florida* at the
10 pretrial conference set for October 12, 2016, which includes defendants' objections to co-
11 conspirator statements and requests for disclosures, and the court is at a loss as to how
12 it can rule on the pretrial issues presented in *Florida* before the nine testifying defendants
13 have entered a revised plea.

14 Accordingly, the court specially sets the change of plea hearings in the above-
15 captioned cases on **Friday, October 7, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.** Any party that wishes to
16 advance the change of plea hearing to October 5, 2016, at 1:30 p.m., may do so by filing
17 a stipulated request to advance the change of plea hearing.

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 Dated: September 30, 2016



20
21 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28